

# 5-[(2-Methyl-4-nitro-1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)-methyl]-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine

M. K. Usha,<sup>a</sup> S. Madan Kumar,<sup>a</sup> H. S. Vidyashree Jois,<sup>b</sup> B. Kalluraya,<sup>b</sup> N. K. Lokanath<sup>a</sup> and D. Revannasiddaiah<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Studies in Physics, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Studies in Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri, Mangalore 574 199, India

Correspondence e-mail: dr@physics.uni-mysore.ac.in


Received 8 November 2013; accepted 9 November 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 296$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.084;  $wR$  factor = 0.206; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.2.

In the title compound,  $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{N}_6\text{O}_2\text{S}$ , the dihedral angle between the imidazole and thiadiazole rings is  $70.86(15)^\circ$ . In the crystal, molecules are linked into  $[10\bar{1}]$  chains by  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$  hydrogen bonds, which incorporate centrosymmetric  $R_2^2(8)$  and  $R_2^2(18)$  loops. The chains are linked by  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$  and  $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$  interactions, generating a three-dimensional network. Very weak  $\pi-\pi$  stacking [centroid-centroid distance =  $3.901(17)$  Å] is also observed.

## Related literature

For biological background, see: Dogan *et al.* (2002); Frank & Kalluraya (2005); Mullican *et al.* (1993). For related structures, see: Zama *et al.* (2013); Yin *et al.* (2012).



## Experimental

### Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{N}_6\text{O}_2\text{S}$   
 $M_r = 240.26$   
 Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$   
 $a = 7.8030(15)$  Å  
 $b = 8.2750(16)$  Å  
 $c = 8.3596(16)$  Å  
 $\alpha = 100.945(8)^\circ$   
 $\beta = 92.379(8)^\circ$   
 $\gamma = 105.911(7)^\circ$   
 $V = 507.15(17)$  Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 2$   
 Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 2.86$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 296$  K  
 $0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.21$  mm

### Data collection

Bruker X8 Proteum diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan  
 (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2013)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.559$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.585$   
 5433 measured reflections  
 1640 independent reflections  
 1560 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.038$

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.084$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.206$   
 $S = 1.10$   
 1640 reflections  
 147 parameters  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.80$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.65$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N3}-\text{H3A}\cdots\text{N1}^{\text{i}}$	0.86	2.15	2.996 (4)	169
$\text{N3}-\text{H3B}\cdots\text{N5}^{\text{ii}}$	0.86	2.26	3.033 (4)	150
$\text{C3}-\text{H3D}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iii}}$	0.97	2.46	3.100 (4)	123
$\text{C4}-\text{H4}\cdots\text{N2}^{\text{iv}}$	0.93	2.51	3.296 (4)	142
$\text{C7}-\text{H7C}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{v}}$	0.96	2.57	3.445 (4)	152

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y + 1, -z + 2$ ; (iii)  $x, y, z - 1$ ; (iv)  $-x, -y, -z + 1$ ; (v)  $x, y + 1, z$ .

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2013); cell refinement: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2013); data reduction: *SAINTE*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

The authors are thankful to the IOE, University of Mysore, for providing the single-crystal X-ray diffraction facility. MKU is grateful to the DST, New Delhi, for the award of an INSPIRE Fellowship. DR acknowledges the UGC, New Delhi, for financial support under the Major Research Project Scheme [No. F.41-882/2012 (SR)].

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB7159).

## References

- Bruker (2013). *APEX2*, *SAINTE* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dogan, H. N., Duran, A., Rollas, S., Sener, G., Uysal, M. K. & Gülen, D. (2002). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **10**, 2893–2898.
- Frank, P. V. & Kalluraya, B. (2005). *J. Indian J. Chem. Sect. B*, **44**, 1456–1459.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Mullican, M. D., Wilson, M. W., Conner, D. T., Kostlan, C. R., Schrier, D. J. & Dyer, R. D. (1993). *J. Med. Chem.* **36**, 1090–1099.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
- Yin, W., Wang, Z. & Yang, Z.-W. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* **E68**, o769.
- Zama, S., Bouraiou, A., Bouacida, S., Roisnel, T. & Belfaitah, A. (2013). *Acta Cryst.* **E69**, o837–o838.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2013). E69, o1825 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813030821]

**5-[(2-Methyl-4-nitro-1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)methyl]-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine**

**M. K. Usha, S. Madan Kumar, H. S. Vidyashree Jois, B. Kalluraya, N. K. Lokanath and D. Revannasiddaiah**

**S1. Comment**

Five-membered aromatic systems having three hetero atoms at symmetrical positions have been studied because of their interesting physiological properties (Dogan *et al.*, 2002). It is also well established that various derivatives of 1,3,4-thiadiazoles exhibit broad spectrum of pharmacological properties such as antibacterial, antifungal (Frank & Kalluraya, 2005) and anti inflammatory (Mullican *et al.*, 1993) activities. As part of our studies in this area, we now report the synthesis and structure of the title compound.


The bond distances in the title compound are comparable to related structures methyl 2-(2-methyl-4-nitro-1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)acetate (Zama *et al.*, 2013) and 5-({[(*E*)-Benzylideneamino]oxy}methyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine (Yin *et al.*, 2012). The *ORTEP* of the title compound is shown (Fig. 1) and the dihedral angle between imidazol and thiadiazol ring is 70.86 (15)°. In the crystal, the molecules are connected by hydrogen bonds (Table 2) N3—H3A···N1, N3—H3B···N5, C4—H4···N2 with  $R_2^2(8)$ ,  $R_2^2(18)$  and  $R_2^2(12)$  ring motifs, respectively. The C3—H3D···O1 and C7—H7···O2 intermolecular hydrogen bonds generate continuous chains along *c*-axis and *b*-axis, respectively. Also,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions ( $Cg1\cdots Cg1$ ) [with minimum centroid-centroid distance 3.901 (17) Å] are observed. The centroid  $Cg1$  is S1/C1/N1/N2/C2 and  $Cg2$  is N4/C4/C5/N5/C6. The packing of the molecules show three-dimensional architecture (Fig. 2).

**S2. Experimental**


A mixture of 2-methyl-4-nitro-1-imidazo thiosemicarbazide (1 mmol) and conc. sulfuric acid (1 ml) was heated under reflux for 2–3 h. The resulting solution was cooled, poured into crushed ice and treated with sodium carbonate to pH 6. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water. The solid formed was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol-DMF mixture to yield red blocks (Melting point 251 °C).

**S3. Refinement**

The H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C–H = 0.93–0.97 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å), and refined as riding on their parent C and N atoms with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C,N})$ .

**Figure 1**

ORTEP diagram of the title compound with 50% probability ellipsoids.

**Figure 2**

Packing diagram of molecule, viewed along *b* axis.

5-[(2-Methyl-4-nitro-1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)methyl]-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amine

## Crystal data

$C_7H_8N_6O_2S$	$Z = 2$
$M_r = 240.26$	$F(000) = 248$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$D_x = 1.573 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 7.8030 (15) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 1640 reflections
$b = 8.2750 (16) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 5.4\text{--}65.5^\circ$
$c = 8.3596 (16) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 2.86 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 100.945 (8)^\circ$	$T = 296 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 92.379 (8)^\circ$	Block, red
$\gamma = 105.911 (7)^\circ$	$0.23 \times 0.22 \times 0.21 \text{ mm}$
$V = 507.15 (17) \text{ \AA}^3$	

## Data collection

Bruker X8 Proteum diffractometer	$T_{\min} = 0.559, T_{\max} = 0.585$
Radiation source: Bruker MicroStar microfocus rotating anode	5433 measured reflections
Helios multilayer optics monochromator	1640 independent reflections
Detector resolution: 10.7 pixels $\text{mm}^{-1}$	1560 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.038$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2013)	$\theta_{\max} = 64.5^\circ, \theta_{\min} = 5.4^\circ$
	$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
	$l = -9 \rightarrow 9$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.084$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.170P)^2 + 0.1569P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.206$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.10$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
1640 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.80 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
147 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.65 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $FC^* = KFC[1 + 0.001XFC^2\Lambda^3/\text{SIN}(2\Theta)]^{-1/4}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction coefficient: 0.128 (15)
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	

## Special details

**Geometry.** Bond distances, angles *etc.* have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

**Refinement.** Refinement on  $F^2$  for ALL reflections except those flagged by the user for potential systematic errors. Weighted  $R$ -factors  $wR$  and all goodnesses of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The observed criterion of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $-R$ -factor-obs *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
S1	0.07927 (9)	0.48240 (8)	0.72687 (8)	0.0272 (3)

O1	-0.3024 (4)	-0.0160 (4)	1.2300 (3)	0.0530 (10)
O2	-0.2117 (4)	-0.1962 (3)	1.0555 (4)	0.0520 (10)
N1	0.2714 (3)	0.3731 (3)	0.5159 (3)	0.0301 (8)
N2	0.1108 (3)	0.2446 (3)	0.4968 (3)	0.0292 (8)
N3	0.4148 (4)	0.6476 (3)	0.6733 (3)	0.0346 (9)
N4	-0.2299 (3)	0.1372 (3)	0.7565 (3)	0.0217 (7)
N5	-0.3183 (3)	0.1775 (3)	1.0046 (3)	0.0253 (8)
N6	-0.2558 (4)	-0.0653 (3)	1.0942 (3)	0.0327 (9)
C1	0.2758 (4)	0.5055 (4)	0.6315 (3)	0.0229 (8)
C2	-0.0004 (4)	0.2824 (3)	0.5963 (3)	0.0224 (8)
C3	-0.1855 (4)	0.1641 (4)	0.5939 (3)	0.0257 (9)
C4	-0.2020 (4)	0.0071 (3)	0.8234 (3)	0.0230 (8)
C5	-0.2577 (4)	0.0367 (3)	0.9743 (3)	0.0228 (8)
C6	-0.3017 (4)	0.2373 (3)	0.8694 (3)	0.0237 (8)
C7	-0.3577 (5)	0.3853 (4)	0.8382 (4)	0.0396 (11)
H3A	0.50960	0.65610	0.62280	0.0420*
H3B	0.40920	0.73040	0.75070	0.0420*
H3C	-0.27200	0.21210	0.54820	0.0310*
H3D	-0.19450	0.05410	0.52310	0.0310*
H4	-0.15570	-0.08100	0.77630	0.0280*
H7A	-0.48610	0.35570	0.82610	0.0600*
H7B	-0.31210	0.41570	0.73980	0.0600*
H7C	-0.31140	0.48100	0.92860	0.0600*

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
S1	0.0282 (6)	0.0236 (6)	0.0256 (6)	0.0051 (4)	0.0134 (3)	-0.0037 (4)
O1	0.079 (2)	0.0551 (17)	0.0176 (12)	0.0078 (14)	0.0032 (11)	0.0072 (11)
O2	0.0665 (19)	0.0387 (15)	0.0623 (17)	0.0249 (13)	0.0124 (14)	0.0226 (13)
N1	0.0320 (14)	0.0244 (13)	0.0298 (14)	0.0055 (10)	0.0161 (10)	-0.0031 (10)
N2	0.0321 (14)	0.0231 (13)	0.0280 (13)	0.0044 (10)	0.0135 (11)	-0.0022 (10)
N3	0.0309 (15)	0.0277 (14)	0.0377 (16)	0.0028 (11)	0.0177 (11)	-0.0062 (11)
N4	0.0245 (12)	0.0199 (12)	0.0196 (12)	0.0057 (9)	0.0085 (9)	0.0012 (9)
N5	0.0274 (13)	0.0215 (13)	0.0225 (13)	0.0038 (10)	0.0102 (9)	-0.0031 (10)
N6	0.0326 (15)	0.0302 (15)	0.0294 (15)	-0.0002 (11)	-0.0024 (11)	0.0068 (11)
C1	0.0268 (15)	0.0227 (14)	0.0208 (14)	0.0090 (11)	0.0100 (11)	0.0040 (11)
C2	0.0298 (16)	0.0209 (14)	0.0169 (13)	0.0076 (12)	0.0079 (11)	0.0030 (10)
C3	0.0281 (16)	0.0284 (16)	0.0169 (14)	0.0037 (12)	0.0066 (11)	0.0013 (11)
C4	0.0233 (14)	0.0169 (14)	0.0263 (15)	0.0046 (11)	0.0043 (11)	0.0001 (11)
C5	0.0238 (14)	0.0194 (14)	0.0213 (14)	0.0018 (11)	0.0026 (11)	0.0015 (11)
C6	0.0234 (14)	0.0208 (14)	0.0256 (15)	0.0059 (11)	0.0116 (11)	0.0001 (11)
C7	0.046 (2)	0.0322 (17)	0.049 (2)	0.0214 (15)	0.0195 (16)	0.0104 (15)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

S1—C1	1.739 (3)	N6—C5	1.430 (3)
S1—C2	1.735 (3)	N3—H3A	0.8600

O1—N6	1.236 (4)	N3—H3B	0.8600
O2—N6	1.215 (4)	C2—C3	1.503 (4)
N1—N2	1.384 (3)	C4—C5	1.352 (4)
N1—C1	1.308 (4)	C6—C7	1.471 (4)
N2—C2	1.286 (4)	C3—H3C	0.9700
N3—C1	1.340 (4)	C3—H3D	0.9700
N4—C3	1.459 (4)	C4—H4	0.9300
N4—C4	1.366 (4)	C7—H7A	0.9600
N4—C6	1.376 (4)	C7—H7B	0.9600
N5—C5	1.358 (4)	C7—H7C	0.9600
N5—C6	1.315 (3)		
C1—S1—C2	86.83 (14)	N4—C4—C5	103.6 (2)
N2—N1—C1	112.7 (2)	N6—C5—C4	125.5 (3)
N1—N2—C2	112.9 (2)	N5—C5—N6	121.4 (2)
C3—N4—C4	124.6 (2)	N5—C5—C4	113.1 (2)
C3—N4—C6	127.0 (2)	N4—C6—N5	110.2 (2)
C4—N4—C6	108.3 (2)	N4—C6—C7	124.1 (2)
C5—N5—C6	104.7 (2)	N5—C6—C7	125.7 (3)
O1—N6—O2	124.2 (3)	N4—C3—H3C	109.00
O1—N6—C5	117.7 (3)	N4—C3—H3D	109.00
O2—N6—C5	118.1 (3)	C2—C3—H3C	109.00
C1—N3—H3B	120.00	C2—C3—H3D	109.00
H3A—N3—H3B	120.00	H3C—C3—H3D	108.00
C1—N3—H3A	120.00	N4—C4—H4	128.00
N1—C1—N3	124.6 (3)	C5—C4—H4	128.00
S1—C1—N3	122.1 (2)	C6—C7—H7A	109.00
S1—C1—N1	113.3 (2)	C6—C7—H7B	109.00
S1—C2—N2	114.3 (2)	C6—C7—H7C	109.00
S1—C2—C3	123.6 (2)	H7A—C7—H7B	110.00
N2—C2—C3	122.1 (2)	H7A—C7—H7C	109.00
N4—C3—C2	112.6 (2)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.00

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>
N3—H3A $\cdots$ N1 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	2.15	2.996 (4)	169
N3—H3B $\cdots$ N5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.26	3.033 (4)	150
C3—H3D $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.97	2.46	3.100 (4)	123
C4—H4 $\cdots$ N2 <sup>iv</sup>	0.93	2.51	3.296 (4)	142
C7—H7C $\cdots$ O2 <sup>v</sup>	0.96	2.57	3.445 (4)	152

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y+1, -z+2$ ; (iii)  $x, y, z-1$ ; (iv)  $-x, -y, -z+1$ ; (v)  $x, y+1, z$ .