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Spectrophotometric Determination of Zolmitriptan in Bulk Drug and Pharmaceuticals Using Vanillin as a Reagent
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An accurate and precise spectrophotometric method is presented for the determination of zolmitriptan (ZMT) based on the formation of a red color product with vanillin in presence of concentrated H₂SO₄, with the chromogen being measured at 580 nm. The reaction proceeds quantitatively at room temperature in 10 min. The calibration curve is linear over the range 5.0–90.0 μg/mL and described by the regression equation $Y = (-3.0.0101 + 0.0117X$ with a regression coefficient $(r)$ of 0.9994 $(n = 7)$. The calculated molar absorptivity and Sandell sensitivity values are $3.3 \times 10^3$ L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ and 0.0872 μg cm⁻², respectively. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) calculated as per ICH guidelines are 1.26 and 3.81 μg mL⁻¹, respectively. The within-day accuracy expressed as relative error was better than 1.78% with precision (RSD) ranging from 0.83 to 1.45%. The between-day accuracy ranged from 1.21 to 1.84% with a precision less than 1.66%. The method was successfully applied to the analysis of one brand of tablet containing zolmitriptan. The results obtained were in agreement with those obtained by published reference method. The accuracy was also checked by placebo blank and synthetic mixture analyses besides recovery study via standard addition procedure.

1. Introduction

The antimigraine drug zolmitriptan (Figure 1) is a selective agonist of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT) type 1B and 1D receptors and chemically known as (4S)-4-[[3-[2-(dimethylamino) ethyl]-1H-indol-5-yl] methyl]-2-oxazolidinone. Zolmitriptan (ZMT) binds with high affinity to human 5-HT₁B and 5-HT₁D receptors leading to cranial blood vessel constriction. The therapeutic activity of ZMT for the treatment of migraine headache can most likely be attributed to the agonist effects at the 5HT₁B/₁D receptors on intracranial blood vessels (including the arteriovenous anastomoses) and sensory nerves of the trigeminal system, which result in cranial vessel constriction and inhibition of proinflammatory neuropeptide release [1].

ZMT is not included in any pharmacopeia. Literature survey reveals that few analytical methods have been published for analysis of ZMT in human plasma and include high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with UV-detection has been widely used for the quantitative determination of ZMT in pharmaceuticals [8–15]. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) [16], liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry [17], voltammetry [18], and UV-spectrophotometry methods [19–21] are the other techniques applied for the assay of ZMT in pharmaceuticals. Only three visible spectrophotometric methods have been reported for the assay of ZMT in pharmaceuticals. The first method reported by Raza et al. [22] is based on the charge-transfer reaction of ZMT with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone in acetonitrile medium to form a colored product. The other two methods reported by Aydogmus and Inanli [23] are based on the formation of yellow ion-pair complexes between ZMT and tropaeolin OO (TPOO) and bromothymol blue (BTB), which were extracted into chloroform and measured at 411.5 and 410 nm, respectively.

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with UV-detection has been widely used for the quantitative determination of ZMT in pharmaceuticals [8–15]. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) [16], liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry [17], voltammetry [18], and UV-spectrophotometry methods [19–21] are the other techniques applied for the assay of ZMT in pharmaceuticals. Only three visible spectrophotometric methods have been reported for the assay of ZMT in pharmaceuticals. The first method reported by Raza et al. [22] is based on the charge-transfer reaction of ZMT with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone in acetonitrile medium to form a colored product. The other two methods reported by Aydogmus and Inanli [23] are based on the formation of yellow ion-pair complexes between ZMT and tropaeolin OO (TPOO) and bromothymol blue (BTB), which were extracted into chloroform and measured at 411.5 and 410 nm, respectively.

Most of the reported methods [8–21] require expensive instrumental setup, expertise personnel, and complicated procedure. Two of the reported visible spectrophotometric
methods [23] require liquid-liquid extraction and strict pH control. The aim of the present work is to develop simple, sensitive and cost-effective spectrophotometric method for the determination of ZMT in pharmaceutical formulation. The method makes use of vanillin as the reagent in presence of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ and has been demonstrated to be superior to the existing spectrophotometric methods in terms of simplicity, speed, working conditions, and accuracy and precision.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Apparatus. A Systronics model 106 digital spectrophotometer provided with 1 cm matched quartz cells was used for absorbance measurements.

2.2. Reagents and Materials. All chemicals were of analytical reagent grade, and distilled water was used to prepare solutions.

Vanillin (4%). The solution was prepared by dissolving 4 g of vanillin (Loba Chemie, Mumbai, India) in 100 mL methanol (Merck Ltd., Mumbai, India).

Sulphuric Acid. Concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ (Merck, Mumbai, India; sp. gr. 1.84) was used as such.

Standard Drug Solution. Pharmaceutical grade ZMT (99.80% pure) was kindly provided by Jubilant life Sciences, Mysore, India, and was used as received. A stock standard solution equivalent to 200 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ ZMT was prepared by dissolving 50 mg of pure drug in methanol and diluting to 250 mL in calibrated flask with the same solvent.

2.3. Construction of Calibration Curves

2.3.1. General Procedure. Different aliquots (0.25, 0.5, 1.0, ..., 4.5 mL) of 200 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ ZMT solution were accurately measured and transferred into a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks, and the total volume was brought to 4.5 mL with methanol. To each flask 1 mL of 4% vanillin was added followed by 1 mL of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ and kept aside for 10 minutes; finally the volume was brought up to mark with methanol. The absorbance was measured at 580 nm versus reagent blank. A calibration graph was prepared by plotting the measured absorbance versus concentration. The concentration of the unknown was read from the calibration graph or computed from the regression equation derived using the Beer’s law data.

2.3.2. Procedure for Tablets. Twenty tablets were weighed and pulverized into a fine powder. An amount of tablet powder equivalent to 20 mg of ZMT was weighed into a 100 mL calibrated flask, 40 mL of methanol added, and the mixture shaken for 20 min; then the volume was made up to the mark with the same solvent, mixed well and filtered using Whatman no. 42 filter paper. The filtrate equivalent to 200 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ was subjected to analysis using the procedure described previously.

2.3.3. Procedure for the Analysis of Placebo Blank and Synthetic Mixture. A placebo blank containing starch (50 mg), acacia (45 mg), hydroxyl cellulose (60 mg), sodium citrate (70 mg), lactose (20 mg), talc (60 mg), magnesium stearate (55 mg), and sodium alginate (60 mg) was prepared, and 10 mg of the placebo blank was extracted with 5 mL methanol, and the solution was made as described under “procedure for tablets” and then subjected to analysis. A synthetic mixture was prepared by adding 20 mg of ZMT to about 20 mg of the placebo blank prepared previously homogenized, and the solution was prepared as done under “procedure for tablets.” The filtrate was collected in a 100 mL flask. The synthetic mixture solution was subjected to analysis by using the previous procedure.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Chemistry. Enamines are formed by a condensation reaction between a secondary amine and an aldehyde or ketone in the presence of an acid catalyst [24, 25]. The formation of enamine forms the basis for the spectrophotometric determination of compounds of pharmaceutical significance. Vanillin, an aromatic aldehyde, has been applied to the quantification of drugs with primary or secondary amine in acidic medium using spectrophotometry [26]. The proposed method is based on the formation of chromogenic enamine between the secondary amino group of ZMT and aldehyde group of vanillin. The most probable condensation step for the formation of enamine between ZMT and vanillin is presented in Scheme 1.

3.2. Spectral Characteristics. The absorption spectrum of the chromogen formed between ZMT and vanillin was recorded between 400 to 760 nm against respective reagent blank and the same is shown in Figure 2. The red-colored enamine exhibits $\lambda_{max}$ at 580 nm. The reagent blank showed negligible absorbance at 580 nm. The measurements were thus made at this wavelength.

3.3. Optimization of Experimental Variables. Various experimental variables were optimized to achieve maximum sensitivity.

3.3.1. Effect of Vanillin. Vanillin is insoluble in water and H$_2$SO$_4$. In methanol, both vanillin and ZMT were found...
to dissolve, and the red-colored reaction product was also obtained in this medium. Hence, methanol was used to prepare vanillin and ZMT solutions. The effect of vanillin on the sensitivity of the reaction was studied by using 4% vanillin, and it was observed that when 0.5–2 mL was used, the absorbance readings were nearly constant; below and above this range there was a decrease in absorbance (Figure 3). Hence, considering minimum blank absorption and maximum chromogen absorption, 1 mL of 4% vanillin was used as optimum in a total volume of 10 mL.
3.3.2. Effect of Acid. The reaction was very slow in dilute acidic medium; thus concentrated sulphuric acid was used. The intensity of the red-colored product was found to remain constant when 0.5–2.0 mL concentrated sulphuric acid was added and even no change in intensity of blank was observed (Figure 4). Hence, 1 mL concentrated acid in a total volume of 10 mL was fixed as the optimum.

3.3.3. Reaction Time. The resulting red-colored enamine was developed completely in 10 min (Figure 5) and remained stable for another 20 min thereafter.

3.4. Method Validation

3.4.1. Linearity and Sensitivity. A linear relation was found to exist between absorbance and the concentration of ZMT in the range 5.0–90.0 μg mL\(^{-1}\). The calibration graph is described by:

\[ Y = a + bX, \quad (1) \]

where \( Y \) is the absorbance, \( a \) is the intercept, \( b \) is the slope, and \( X \) is the concentration in μg mL\(^{-1}\), obtained by the method of least squares. Correlation coefficient, intercept, and slope for the calibration data are summarized in Table 1. Sensitivity parameters such as apparent molar absorptivity and Sandell sensitivity values and the limits of detection and quantification calculated as per the current ICH guidelines [27] are compiled in Table 1 and are indicative of the excellent sensitivity of the method. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) were calculated according to the same guidelines using the formulæ

\[ \text{LOD} = \frac{3.3\sigma}{b}, \quad \text{LOQ} = \frac{10\sigma}{b}, \quad (2) \]

where \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of five reagent blank determinations and \( b \) is the slope of the calibration curve.

3.4.2. Accuracy and Precision. Accuracy was evaluated as percentage relative error between the measured concentrations and taken concentrations for ZMT (Bias %). The results obtained are compiled in Table 2 and show that the accuracy is good. The precision of the method was calculated in terms of intermediate precision (intraday and interday). Three different concentrations of ZMT were analyzed in replicates during the same day (intra-day precision) and five consecutive days (inter-day precision). The %RSD values of intra-day and inter-day studies showed that the precision was good (Table 2).

3.4.3. Robustness and Ruggedness. Method robustness was tested by making small incremental changes in concentrated sulphuric acid concentration and reaction time. To check the ruggedness, the analysis was performed by four different
Table 2: Evaluation of intraday and interday precision and accuracy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZMT taken (µg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Intraday (n = 7)</th>
<th>Interday (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZMT found (µg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>%RSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.71</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>60.61</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean value of five determinations; †relative standard deviation (%); ‡relative error (%).

Table 3: Robustness and ruggedness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZMT taken, µg mL⁻¹</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Ruggedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume of acida</td>
<td>Reaction timeb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The volumes of conc. H₂SO₄ solution were 0.9, 1.0, and 1.1 mL; †reaction times were 9, 10, and 11 min.

Table 4: Results of analysis of tablets by the proposed methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablet brand name</th>
<th>Label claim mg/tablet</th>
<th>Found (percent of label claim ± SD)a</th>
<th>Reference method</th>
<th>Proposed method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zomig-2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100.59 ± 0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.22 ± 1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t = 1.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tabulated t-value at the 95% confidence level is 2.78.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F = 2.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tabulated F-value at the 95% confidence level is 6.39.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean value of five determinations.

Table 3 describes chromatographic separation of ZMT with UV-detection at 225 nm. The results (Table 4) show that the Student’s t- and F-values at 95% confidence level are less than the theoretical values, indicating that there is a good agreement between the results obtained by the proposed method and the reference method with respect to accuracy and precision.

4. Conclusions

The proposed method is selective as aromatic secondary amino group present in ZMT selectively condensed with the aromatic aldehyde group present in the vanillin. One of the previously reported methods requires expensive reagent [22], and the other two methods [23] involve extraction step and strict pH control and require large quantity of organic solvents. In contrast, the present method is free from rigid experimental conditions and is characterized by simplicity, reasonable sensitivity, cost-effectiveness, and use of easily available chemicals when compared to the existing spectrophotometric methods. Selectivity of the reaction is reflected in satisfactory recovery of ZMT in the presence of excipients in pharmaceuticals. The reaction is rapid compared to previously reported extractive spectrophotometric methods. The method can be useful in the quality control of bulk drug and tablet dosage form.
Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge Cipla India Ltd., Mumbai, India, for providing the gift sample of zolmitriptan. One of the authors (Kudige N. Prashanth) also wishes to thank the authorities of the University of Mysore for giving permission and facilities to carry out the research work. The authors do not have any conflict of interests with the commercial identities mentioned in the paper.

References


### Table 5: Results of recovery study by standard addition method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablets studied</th>
<th>ZMT in tablets, µg mL⁻¹</th>
<th>Pure ZMT added, µg mL⁻¹</th>
<th>Total found, µg mL⁻¹</th>
<th>Pure ZMT recovered*, percent ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zomig-2.5</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>101.7 ± 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>40.13</td>
<td>101.4 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>50.52</td>
<td>102.3 ± 1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value of three determinations.


